

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXX No. 7

August 14, 2018

170 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Gagliano v. Advanced Specialty Care, P.C., 329 C 745	47
<i>Medical malpractice; vicarious liability; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court incorrectly determined that evidence adduced at trial was insufficient to support jury's finding that surgical resident acted as defendant hospital's actual agent when he participated in performing surgery under supervision of member of hospital's clinical faculty who also was plaintiff's surgeon; elements of agency relationship, discussed; claim, as alternative ground for affirming Appellate Court's judgment, that hospital was not legally permitted to control professional judgment of physician under state statutes governing physicians and hospitals because it was not licensed to practice medicine and, therefore, could not directly engage in practice of medicine or indirectly do so through its licensed employees or agents.</i>	
Helmedach v. Commissioner of Correction, 329 C 726.	28
<i>Habeas corpus; claim that petitioner's criminal trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance when he delayed presenting favorable plea offer to her and offer was withdrawn; certification from Appellate Court; whether effective assistance of counsel during plea negotiations requires that defense counsel promptly communicate all formal plea offers from prosecutor; whether counsel's decision to delay in communicating offer interfered with petitioner's right to make informed decision about whether to testify; claim that this court should defer to counsel's strategic decision to delay conveying offer until after petitioner testified.</i>	
Kelsey v. Commissioner of Correction, 329 C 711.	13
<i>Habeas corpus; request by respondent Commissioner of Correction, pursuant to statute (§ 52-470 [d] and [e]), for order to show cause why habeas petition should be permitted to proceed when petition was filed beyond two year limitation period set forth in § 52-470 (d) (1); whether habeas court incorrectly determined that it lacked discretion to act on request for order to show cause; 2012 amendments to § 52-470, discussed.</i>	
Levin v. State, 329 C 701	3
<i>Motion to strike; notice of claim to claims commissioner for permission to file action against state for medical malpractice pursuant to statute (§ 4-160 [b]); sovereign immunity; whether trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction over action alleging medical malpractice because Connecticut does not recognize medical malpractice actions brought by nonpatient third parties; whether trial court would have lacked subject matter jurisdiction to consider negligence claim because such claim would have been beyond scope of action authorized by claims commissioner.</i>	
Volume 329 Cumulative Table of Cases	73

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Drabik v. Thomas, 184 CA 238.	40A
<i>Petition for bill of discovery seeking to depose defendant employees of certain Indian tribe and officers of tribe's historic preservation office; whether trial court improperly granted defendants' motion to dismiss on ground of tribal sovereign immunity; whether trial court correctly determined that certain defendants were entitled to tribal sovereign immunity; whether certain defendants were named in individual capacities or acted beyond scope of authority as employees of tribe and officers of tribe's historic preservation office.</i>	

(continued on next page)

Farmer-Lancot v. Shand, 184 CA 249.	51A
<i>Negligence; whether trial court improperly denied request for jury charge on sudden emergency doctrine, standard of care for pedestrian in roadway, and defendant's duty to yield to pedestrians when making right-hand turn; whether trial court properly declined to instruct jury in accordance with model instructions regarding crossing at crosswalk; whether, under general verdict rule, this court, which resolved plaintiff's sole challenge to court's jury instructions as to negligence and concluded that there was no error, was required to presume that jury found that defendant was not negligent; whether general verdict rule precluded review of plaintiff's remaining claims relating to instructions on contributory negligence.</i>	
Holliday v. Commissioner of Correction, 184 CA 228.	30A
<i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court improperly dismissed petition for writ of habeas corpus; whether habeas court lacked subject matter jurisdiction over claim that 2013 revision to parole eligibility statute (§ 54-125a [b]) violated petitioner's rights to due process and equal protection, and constitutional prohibition against ex post facto laws; whether petitioner demonstrated liberty interest that was implicated by loss of risk reduction credits toward parole eligibility; whether habeas court improperly dismissed habeas petition without notice or hearing pursuant to rule of practice (§ 23-29 [1]) that authorized court to dismiss habeas petition on own motion.</i>	
In re Joheli V., 184 CA 259.	61A
<i>Termination of parental rights; claim that trial court erred in determining that respondent father had failed to achieve such degree of personal rehabilitation as would encourage belief that within reasonable time respondent could assume responsible position in minor child's life based solely upon respondent's current incarceration for allegedly sexually assaulting minor child; whether trial court based determination that respondent failed to rehabilitate solely on ground that respondent was incarcerated; whether trial court's determination that respondent's efforts to rehabilitate were scant even before arrest was supported by record.</i>	
Palosz v. Greenwich, 184 CA 201.	3A
<i>Wrongful death; motion to strike; claim that board of education was entitled to sovereign immunity from wrongful death action arising out of suicide of student following severe and continual bullying while student was enrolled in school system; whether board was acting as agent of state when employees allegedly failed to comply with terms of policy that board had adopted to address bullying in school system in accordance with statute (§ [Rev. to 2011] 10-222d [as amended by Public Acts 2011, No. 11-232, § 1]); whether board was entitled to sovereign immunity in light of qualified immunity specifically provided to local board of education pursuant to statute (§ 10-222l) for actions taken by the board with respect to policies adopted pursuant to § 10-222d.</i>	
Thompson v. Commissioner of Correction, 184 CA 215.	17A
<i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court properly determined that petitioner failed to prove, by preponderance of evidence, that trial counsel rendered deficient performance by not moving for mistrial or requesting curative instruction after com-</i>	

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
Office of Production and Distribution
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, Publications Director

Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
ERIC M. LEVINE, Reporter of Judicial Decisions
Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

plainant provided prejudicial testimony; whether conclusion that trial counsel's acquiescence waived petitioner's claim that petitioner was deprived of right to fair trial as result of jury's potential exposure to prejudicial testimony equated to determination that counsel rendered ineffective assistance in handling of issue; claim that jury heard prejudicial testimony because it was reflected in trial transcript; whether petitioner failed to present evidence to rebut presumption that trial counsel's performance fell within wide range of reasonable professional assistance.

Volume 184 Cumulative Table of Cases 81A

NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

Social Services, Department of 1B

MISCELLANEOUS

Notice of Pendency of Reinstatement Application 1C

Notice of Reprimand of Attorney 2C

Personnel Notice. 1C
